

66-7012

7 SEP 1960

✓  
Dr. George B. Kistiakowsky  
Special Assistant to the President  
for Science and Technology  
The White House  
Washington, D. C.

Dear George:

I was pleased to receive a copy of the thoughtful and perceptive letter Admiral Berkner sent you on 15 July. Members of my staff have discussed his observations at some length, and I want to convey to you our general analysis of them at this time.

We fully share Admiral Berkner's concern over the present intentions of the Soviet leaders and in our own thinking on the subject always take into account the possibility that they may be making radical military preparations. A government as obsessed with secrecy as that of the USSR naturally generates suspicion that it has something to hide. In our experience, however, there has never been any significant let-down in Soviet security precautions, and any increase in the degree of stress the Soviets place on security publicly seems to us designed to serve some other purpose than providing additional concealment.

~~SECRET~~

-2-

As Admiral Berkner notes by inference, the Soviet Union has, since the breakdown of the Summit Conference, embarked on a new effort to denigrate the United States. We view this as an attempt to undermine America's position as leader of the West rather than as a campaign to prepare the "national attitude" of the Soviet people for hostilities. We see a considerable element of caution in the propaganda fed to the Bloc internally, particularly as compared with the Korean war period. Furthermore, in conjunction with the growing aggressiveness of Soviet tactics since May, Khrushchev has been careful to reiterate with increased emphasis the inconceivability of major warfare. We cannot, of course, state categorically that all this is not part of a grand deception scheme to prevent the West from reaching the very conclusion which Admiral Berkner suggests. The possibility of deception is always a major intelligence worry. But the "national attitude" argument does not at this time seem to support the idea of active preparation.

~~SECRET~~

-3-

A recent review of the estimate of Soviet ICBM capabilities does not attribute to the USSR at this time a force which would justify in the Soviet mind the taking of any serious risks of nuclear war. In fact, we believe that the Soviet deployment of ICBM's is in a very early stage.

**SIGNED**

**Allen W. Dulles**  
**Director**

Originator:  
OCI/GenDiv/[ ] crl(19 Aug 60)

Distribution:  
Orig. & 1 - Addressee  
1 - DCI  
1 - DDCI  
1 - EX.REG.  
1 - AD/CI

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: DCI

Jack:

When Mr. Dulles last read the proposed letter to Dr. Kistiakowsky, he asked that the whole file be reassembled. Here it is.

He also said that he wasn't sure he agreed with the draft reply as it stood and wanted to see it again.

1 Sept  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(DATE)

STAT

STAT

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101  
1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

1421

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1	DCI-		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: I believe <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 80px; height: 30px; vertical-align: middle;"></span> restate his main points. <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 40px; vertical-align: middle; margin-left: 400px;"></span>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
Approved For Release 2002/06/21 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900020046-3 UNCLASSIFIED			14 Aug 1980